2 3 4	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLE E. Alex Beroukhim (SBN 220722) alex.beroukhim@arnoldporter.com 777 South Figueroa Street, Forty-Fourth Los Angeles, California 90017-5844 Telephone: 213.243.4000 Facsimile: 213.243.4199		
5 6	Attorneys for Defendant BP SOLAR INTERNATIONAL, INC. and HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.		
7 8 9 10	BIRKA-WHITE LAW OFFICES David M. Birka-White (SBN 85721) dbw@birka-white.com 178 E. Prospect Avenue Danville, CA 94526 Telephone: 925.362.9999 Facsimile: 925.362.9970		
11 12	Counsel for Plaintiffs MICHAEL ALLAGAS, ARTHUR RAY, BRETT MOHRMAN, and the SETTLEMENT CLASS		
13			
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
18	MICHAEL ALLAGAS ADTHID	Case No. 3:14-cv	-00560-SI
19	MICHAEL ALLAGAS, ARTHUR RAY and BRETT MOHRMAN, on behalf of themselves and all others	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF ADDENDUM TO CLASS	
20	similarly situated,		
21	Plaintiffs,		
22	vs.	SETTLEMENT	
23	BP SOLAR INTERNATIONAL, INC., HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. and	Judge: Crtrm:	Hon. Susan Illston
24	DOES 1-10, inclusive,	1	I
25	Defendants.	Action Filed: Trial Date:	January 9, 2014 None
26			
27			
28			
			C N- 2-14 005(0 C)

Case No. 3:14-cv-00560-S1

26

27

28

Upon review and consideration of the Joint Stipulation for Preliminary

Approval of Addendum to Class Settlement Agreement, it is hereby ORDERED and

ADJUDGED as follows:

- 1. The parties have agreed to modify the nationwide class action settlement agreement ("Settlement" or "Agreement"). This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties to this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1453. To accomplish this, they have submitted an "Addendum to Settlement Agreement and Release" and a "Conditional Fourth Amended Complaint for Damages and Injunction."
- 2. The Addendum to the Settlement, including all exhibits thereto, is preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel, have investigated the pertinent facts and law, have engaged in substantial motion practice and discovery, and have evaluated the risks associated with continued litigation, trial, and/or appeal. The Court finds that the Addendum to the Settlement was reached in the absence of collusion, is the product of informed, good-faith, arm's-length negotiations between the parties and their capable and experienced counsel, and was reached with the assistance of an experienced mediator. The Court further finds that the modified Class meets the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3) and should be certified for settlement purposes only; that the named Plaintiffs should remain appointed as Class Representatives; that the attorneys identified below should remain appointed as Class Counsel; and that it is appropriate to effectuate notice to the modified Class and to schedule a Fairness Hearing to assist the Court in determining whether to grant final approval to the Addendum to the Settlement and enter a Final Order and Judgment.
- 3. The modified Settlement Class includes all persons or entities in the United States who purchased Class Panels, as defined in the Addendum to the Settlement Agreement, for installation on a property or who purchased a property on which Class Panels had previously been installed and (in either case), currently some

or all of such Class Panels. Excluded from the Class are: (1) Defendants, any entity in which they have a controlling interest, and such entity's legal representatives, officers, directors, employees, assigns and successors; (2) the United States government and any agency or instrumentality thereof; (3) the judge to whom this case is assigned and any member the judge's immediate family; and (4) persons who timely and validly opt to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class.

- 4. The Addendum confers substantial benefits upon the modified Settlement Class and avoids the costs, uncertainty, delays, and other risks associated with continued litigation, trial, and likely appeals. The Court finds that the modified Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and, as such, merits preliminary approval.
- 5. The Court finds that the prerequisites for a class action under Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied, and therefore certifies the modified Settlement Class under Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) for settlement purposes only
  - a. Class Members are ascertainable based on BP solar panels' model numbers and serial numbers.
  - b. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The parties estimate that there are hundreds of Class Members, based on the number of BP365TS model panels manufactured between 2005 to 2007 ("BP365TS 2005-2007") still in circulation throughout the country.
  - c. This litigation involves common class-wide issues, including that the BP365TS 2005-2007 panels are defectively designed, BP's representations of reliable solar panels, BP's knowledge of the defect, and its uniform omissions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2), (b)(3). Likewise, the express and implied warranty claims turn on the defective nature of the panels, a question common to all the Class Members.

- d. The claims of the named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class, and the named Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c), (d). The typicality and adequacy requirements are satisfied because the Plaintiffs are owners of the Class Panels, and BP's conduct at issue is alleged to have caused similar harm to Plaintiffs and the Class. Accordingly, the Court re-appoints as Settlement Class Representatives Michael Allagas, Arthur Ray and Brett Mohrman.
- e. Plaintiffs' counsel has the qualifications and experience to represent the Settlement Class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(d). Accordingly, the Court appoints Birka-White Law Offices as Class Counsel for purposes of effectuating the Settlement.
- f. The Court also finds that common issues predominate and the proposed Settlement is a superior way to resolve this national controversy. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3). The common issues include those identified above. Further, given the inefficiencies and difficulties in pursuing thousands of individual claims, the class mechanism is superior to any other for resolution of these common disputes.
- 6. The Court finds that the Notice Plan in the Addendum is reasonable and provides due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and meets the requirements of due process and Rule 23. The Notice Plan includes individualized first-class mail and email service to Class Members added by the Addendum known to BP and Class Counsel, a settlement website maintained by the Claims Administrator and linked to Class Counsel, a toll-free telephone line staffed by the Claims Administrator, internet and social media advertisements, and publication notice in numerous periodicals throughout the United States where the Class Panels added by the Addendum were installed. The Notice Program complies with Rule 23(c)(2)(B) because it constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, provides individual notice to all Class Members added by the Addendum who can be

identified through reasonable effort, and is reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise the Class Members added by the Addendum of the nature of the action, the claims it asserts, the Class definition, the Settlement terms, the right to appear through an attorney, the right to opt out of the Class or to comment on or object to the Settlement and how to do so, and the binding effect of a final judgment upon Class Members added by the Addendum who do not opt out. The Court approves for dissemination to the Class the notices filed with the Court with the parties joint stipulation for preliminary approval of the modification to the Settlement, and directs the Claims Administrator and the Parties to carry out the Notice Plan as provided for in the Settlement.

- 7. The Court re-appoints Jennifer Keough of JND Legal Administration to serve as the Independent Claims Administrator ("ICA") as provided under the Settlement. All reasonable fees, costs, and expenses of notice and claims administration shall be paid as provided in the Settlement. The Parties are ordered to finalize the publication notice dates as soon as practicable after the entry of this Order. Notice shall be completed within 75 days of the entry of this Order.
- 8. Class Counsel shall file a stipulation for Final Approval and Motion for Class Counsel's Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Service Awards to Class Representatives on or before 30 days after the date of this Preliminary Approval Order.
- 9. Any Class Member added by the Addendum may opt out of the proposed Settlement in the manner prescribed in the Addendum to the Settlement Agreement and provided their request is postmarked by not later than the date indicated in the Long Form Notice (which corresponds to no less than 85 days following commencement of the Notice Program). Any Class Member added by the Addendum may object to the Settlement and/or to Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and costs in the manner prescribed in the modified Settlement Agreement and Long Form Notice, and provided any such objection is postmarked to the Court, Counsel, and the ICA by the date indicated in the Long Form Notice (which corresponds to no less than

85 days following the commencement of the Notice Program). Plaintiffs' and Defendants' responses to Objections and Reply Briefs, if any, shall be filed 9 days after the optout and objection deadline. Any Class Member added by the Addendum that wishes to appear at the Fairness Hearing must so state in their objection, or if the Class Member added by the Addendum has no objection, in a letter addressed to Class Counsel and Defendants by the objection deadline.

- 10. The Fairness Hearing shall be held no less than 100 days after commencement of the Notice Program to (i) consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the modified Settlement; (ii) consider entry of a Final Order and Judgment approving the modified Settlement and the dismissal with prejudice of the Action; (iii) consider any objections to the Settlement filed by Class Members added by the Addendum; (iv) consider Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs and expenses; and (v) consider such other matters as the Court may deem necessary or proper under the circumstances in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 11. The Fairness Hearing may be postponed, adjourned, or continued by Order of the Court without further notice to the Class. After the Fairness Hearing, the Court may enter a Final Order and Judgment in accordance with the modified Settlement.
- 12. Pending the Fairness Hearing, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or to enforce the terms and conditions of the modified Settlement, this matter is and shall remain stayed.
- 13. If the Addendum to the Settlement does not receive Final Approval, then the Addendum to the Settlement shall become null and void. Plaintiffs, Class Members, and Defendants shall be restored to their respective positions prior to the entry of this Order.
- 14. Class Counsel and Counsel for Defendants are hereby authorized to employ all reasonable procedures in connection with approval and administration of

the Addendum to the Settlement that are not materially inconsistent with this Order or the modified Settlement, including making, without further approval of the Court, nonmaterial changes to the form or content of the Notice.

15. The dates of performance contained herein may be extended by Order of the Court, for good cause shown, without further notice to the Class.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 30thday of January , 2019.

The Honorable Susan Illstor United States District Judge