Case 3:14-cv-00560-SI Document 192 Filed 12/08/16 Page 1 of 9

1 2 3 4 5 6	Richard M. Heimann (Cal. Bar No. 063607) rheimann@lchb.com Robert J. Nelson (Cal. Bar No. 132797) rnelson@lchb.com Nimish R. Desai (Cal. Bar No. 244953) ndesai@lchb.com LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNST 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008	EIN, LLP	
7 8 9 10	David M. Birka-White (Cal. Bar No. 85721) dbw@birka-white.com Mindy M. Wong (Cal. Bar No. 267820) mwong@birka-white.com BIRKA-WHITE LAW OFFICES 65 Oak Court Danville, CA 94526 Telephone: (925) 362-9999 Facsimile: (925) 362-9970		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17			
118 119 120 121 122 122 123 124 125 126 127	MICHAEL ALLAGAS, ARTHUR RAY BRETT MOHRMAN, and BRIAN DICKSON, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. BP SOLAR INTERNATIONAL, INC., HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. and DOES 1-10, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. 3:14-cv-00560-SI (EDL) [PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLASS ACTION WITH PREJUDICE	
28			
		IDBODOGEDI ODDED AND HIDGMENT CHANTEN	

1

8

5

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

20 21

19

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Upon review and consideration of Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Final Approval, the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement"), and all declarations and exhibits submitted therewith, which have been filed with the Court, it is hereby ORDERED and ADJUDGED as follows:

- 1. The parties have agreed to settle this action set forth in the proposed nationwide class action settlement agreement ("Settlement" or "Agreement"). This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties to this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1453. Except where otherwise noted, all capitalized terms used in this ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLASS ACTION WITH PREJUDICE (the "Final Order and Judgment") shall have the meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreement which is incorporated by reference hereto.
- 2. The Settlement, including all exhibits thereto, is finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel, have investigated the pertinent facts and law, have engaged in substantial motion practice and discovery, and have evaluated the risks associated with continued litigation, trial, and/or appeal. The Court finds that the Settlement was reached in the absence of collusion, is the product of informed, good-faith, arm's-length negotiations between the parties and their capable and experienced counsel, and was reached with the assistance of an experienced mediator. The Court further finds that the proposed Class meets the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3) and should be certified for settlement purposes only; that the named Plaintiffs should be appointed as Class Representatives; that the attorneys identified below should be appointed as Class Counsel; and that the notice program constituted the best practicable notice to the Class.

A. **Certification of Settlement Class**

3. The Settlement Class (or "Class") this Court previously preliminarily certified in its Preliminary Approval Order is hereby finally certified for settlement purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3). The Class consists of:

All persons or entities in the United States (a) who purchased Class

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	ш

Panels for initial installation on a property or who purchased properties on which Class Panels had first been installed, and (b) who currently own some or all of those Panels.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, excluded from the Class are: (1) Defendants, any entity in which Defendants have a controlling interest, and their legal representatives, officers, directors, employees, assigns and successors; (2) the United States government and any agency or instrumentality thereof; (3) the judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the judge's immediate family; and (4) persons who timely and validly opt to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class. In addition, Class Members' claims for personal injury and wrongful death are initially reserved, and shall be released only pursuant to the terms § XII of the Settlement Agreement.

- 4. The Court finds that the prerequisites for a class action under Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied.
- a. Class Members are ascertainable based on BP solar panels' model numbers and serial numbers.
- b. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The parties estimate that there are several thousands of Class Members, based on the hundreds of thousands of Class Panels still installed throughout the country.
- c. This litigation involves common class-wide issues that would drive the resolution of the claims absent the Settlement, satisfying the commonality and predominance requirements. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2), (b)(3); *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes*, 131 S. Ct. 2541, 2551 (2011). Common issues include the alleged common design defect, BP Solar's alleged knowledge of the defect, and its alleged failure to disclose known information about the defect.
- d. The claims of the named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class, and the named Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c), (d). The typicality and adequacy requirements are satisfied because the Plaintiffs are owners of the Class Panels, and BP Solar's conduct at issue is alleged to have caused similar harm to Plaintiffs and

- 3 -

themselves from the Class, their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing (either on their own or through counsel hired at their own expense), and (v) the binding effect of the orders and Final Order and Judgment in this action, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all persons who do not request exclusion from the Class;

- c. was reasonable and constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to be provided with notice; and
- d. fully satisfied the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2) and (e), the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Rules of this Court, and any other applicable law.
- 6. The Parties have provided the necessary notice under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1712.

C. <u>Final Settlement Approval</u>

- 7. The Ninth Circuit has identified factors that govern the Court's analysis at final approval to determine whether a settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate: the strength of the plaintiffs' case; the risk, expense, complexity, and likely duration of further litigation; the risk of maintaining class action status throughout the trial; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of discovery completed and the stage of the proceedings; the experience and views of counsel; the presence of a governmental participant; and the reaction of the class members to the proposed settlement. *Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp.*, 150 F.3d 1011, 1026 (9th Cir. 1998)
- 8. The terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, including any and all other amendments, addendums and exhibits, have been entered into in good faith and are hereby fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to, and in the best interests of, the Plaintiffs and the Class Members, and in full compliance with all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), and any other applicable law.
- 9. The Settlement confers substantial benefits upon the Settlement Class and avoids the costs, uncertainty, delays, and other risks associated with continued litigation, trial, and likely appeals.

- a. First, Defendants will contribute \$45.33 million into a common fund that will be utilized to replace all FDK+ Panels, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, irrespective of whether they show any sign of failure. Claims will be paid until the fund is depleted.
- Second, Defendants will fund the administration of a claims made program that entitles Class Members to a visual inspection of all Non-FDK+ Panels, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, and will remove, replace, and dispose of those that have failed due to junction box failure. If more than 20% of the Non-FDK+ panels on a property have failed due to junction box failure, inclusive of past failures documented in BP's warranty database, the Settlement will fund the replacement of all remaining Non-FDK+ panels on the property. If the Class Member does not receive full replacement following the initial inspection, he or she will receive a free arc-fault detection inverter, fully installed, which is designed to preemptively arrest any "arc-faults" in the panels. Finally, the Class Member remains eligible to submit further claims while the program remains active (either three years or once the \$20 million fund is depleted, whichever is earlier), and to obtain full replacement should the failure rate of panels on the property exceed 20%, subject only to a credit back to Defendants for the cost of a new inverter if previously provided. After the claims made program ends, Non-FDK+ Class Members will still be able to pursue warranty claims pursuant to Defendant BP Solar's standard warranty program, meaning that they will continue to get failed panels replaced for the remainder of their warranties.
- c. Third, for any Class Members with large, non-residential systems (i.e., more than 400 panels not used in a residential setting) ("LNR"), Defendants have agreed to enter negotiations to settle the claims, mediated by a Special Master or another mutually agreed person. If the negotiations do not resolve the Class Member's claims, a LNR Class Member remains free to opt out of the Settlement, notwithstanding the official opt-out deadline, but agrees not to commence class litigation against Defendants during this period of negotiations.
- 10. The Settlement was reached only after full briefing on a class certification motion supported by numerous expert and class member declarations and 93 exhibits; extensive fact and expert discovery, including over twenty depositions; and voluminous document discovery.

27

28

Based on the stage of the proceedings and the amount of investigation and discovery completed, the parties had developed a sufficient factual record to evaluate their chances of success at trial and to negotiate the Settlement.

- 11. The complexity, expense and likely duration of the litigation favors the Settlement, which provides meaningful and substantial benefits on a much shorter time frame than otherwise possible, and avoids risk to class certification and the Class's case on the merits.
- 12. The response to the Settlement by the Class further supports final approval. Of the estimated 8,000 Class Members, only five (5) opted out and not a single Class Member objected. *Cf. Churchill Vill.*, *L.L.C.* v. GE, 361 F.3d 566, 575 (9th Cir. 2004). The support of Class Counsel, who are highly skilled in class action litigation such as this, and the Class Representatives, who have participated in this litigation and evaluated the Settlement, also favors final approval. *See Class Plaintiffs v. City of Seattle*, 955 F.2d 1268, 1291 (9th Cir. 1992).
- 13. Finally, there is no evidence of collusion in the Settlement. *Allen v. Bedolla*, 787 F.3d 1218, 1224 (9th Cir. 2015); *In re Bluetooth Headset Products Liab. Litig.*, 654 F.3d 935, 946 (9th Cir. 2011). The Settlement was the product of informed, good-faith, arm's-length negotiations between the parties and their capable and experienced counsel, and was reached with the assistance of an experienced mediator. Further, as discussed in a separate order, the attorneys' fees request is fair and reasonable under governing standards.

D. <u>Administrative Matters, Release, and Dismissal</u>

- 14. <u>Appointments</u>. The Court appoints Jennifer Keough of JND Legal Administration to serve as the Independent Claims Administrator ("ICA") as provided under the Settlement. All reasonable fees, costs, and expenses of notice and claims administration shall be paid as provided in the Settlement. Judge Richard Kramer (Ret.) shall serve as Special Master, pursuant to § V of the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. <u>Release</u>. The Release contained in § XII of the Settlement Agreement is expressly incorporated herein in all respects, is effective as of the date of this Final Order and Judgment, and forever discharges the Released Parties from any claims or liabilities as described therein.

- 7 -

16. <u>Binding Effect</u>. The terms of the Settlement Agreement, and of this Final Order and Judgment shall be forever binding on Plaintiffs and all other Class Members, as well as their heirs, executors and administrators, successors and assigns, and those terms shall have res judicata and other preclusive effect with respect to all Released Claims.

- 17. Permanent Injunction. All Class Members who have not been timely excluded from the Class are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from (a) filing, commencing, prosecuting, maintaining, intervening in, participating in (as class members or otherwise), or receiving any benefits or other relief from, any other lawsuit, arbitration, or administrative, regulatory or other proceeding or order in any jurisdiction for the Released Claims, and (b) organizing or soliciting the participation of any Class Members in a separate class for purposes of pursuing as a purported class action (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations, or by seeking class certification in a pending action) any lawsuit or other proceeding for the Released Claims. The Court finds that issuance of this permanent injunction is necessary and appropriate in aid of the Court's jurisdiction over this action and to protect and effectuate the Court's Final Order and Judgment.
- 18. <u>Attorneys' and Class Representative's Fees and Expenses</u>. The Agreement provides for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of their expenses in the amount of \$11,600,000, and stipends to the Class representatives as follows: \$7,500 to Plaintiffs Allagas, Mohrman, and Ray, and \$3,500 to Plaintiff Dickson. The Court will issue a separate order addressing these fees and stipend requests.
- 19. <u>Enforcement of Settlement and Retention of Jurisdiction</u>. Nothing in this Final Order and Judgment shall preclude any action to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Without affecting the finality of this Order, this Court expressly retains exclusive jurisdiction as to all matters relating to the administration, consummation, enforcement and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement and of this Final Order and Judgment, including, without limitation, for the purpose of:

- 8 -

Case 3:14-cv-00560-SI Document 192 Filed 12/08/16 Page 9 of 9

1	a. enforcing the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and		
2	resolving any disputes, claims or causes of action that, in whole or in part, are related to or arise		
3	out of the Settlement Agreement, and/or this Final Order and Judgment;		
4	b. entering such additional orders, if any, as may be necessary or appropriate		
5	to protect or effectuate this Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement, or to ensure		
6	the fair and orderly administration of the Settlement; and		
7	c. entering any other necessary or appropriate orders to protect and effectuate		
8	this Court's retention of continuing jurisdiction.		
9	20. <u>No Admissions</u> . Section XIII of the Agreement, "No Admission of Liability," is		
10	expressly incorporated herein in all respects.		
11	21. <u>Dismissal of Action</u> . This Action is hereby dismissed in its entirety on the merits		
12	and with prejudice against Plaintiffs and all other Class Members, without fees or costs to any		
13	party except as otherwise provided in this Final Order and Judgment and the Court's separate		
14	order regarding attorneys' fees and costs and Class Representative stipends.		
15	22. <u>Entry of Final Judgment</u> . The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the		
16	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that Final Judgment should be entered as to the entirety of this		
17	Action, and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of Final Judgment.		
18	Accordingly, the Clerk's entry of this Order shall constitute Final Judgment and dismissal of the		
19	Action.		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED this day of, 2016.		
21			
22	The Honorable Susan Illston		
23	United States District Judge		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			